Epidural Catheter Malposition in a Failed Epidural Anesthesia Confirmed by Computed Tomography
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We report a case of failed epidural anesthesia despite successful identification of the epidural space, loss of resistance technique, hanging drop method and drip infusion. This case evaluated the use of computed tomography to confirm epidural catheter position, which showed the catheter accidentally positioned at the T2 lamina. Because epidural anesthesia can even after successful procedure using standardized techniques such as loss of resistance, we recommend performing the procedure under fluoroscopic guidance to improve success rate and patient safety. (Korean J Pain 2011; 24: 44-47)
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Methods to identify the epidural space in epidural puncture include loss of resistance technique to air or normal saline, hanging drop method [1], ultrasound-guided method [2–4], pressure-guided method [5], drip infusion method [6,7], Queckenstedt test method [8], and use of acoustic device [9], or nerve stimulator [10]. Among these, loss of resistance technique is used most frequently. In this report, epidural anesthesia failed while performing high level thoracic epidural anesthesia for arteriovenous bridge graft of the upper arm in a chronic renal failure patient even though loss of resistance technique, hanging drop method, and drip infusion method were all successful.

We report a case in which an epidurogram and cervical and thoracic computed tomography were performed to verify the location of the epidural catheter; the result showed that the catheter had failed to penetrate through the ligamentum flavum and had been positioned in the T2 lamina erector spinae muscle.

CASE REPORT

The 67-year old, male patient (164 cm, 70 kg) with chronic renal failure was supposed to undergo arteriovenous bridge graft of the upper arm for hemodialysis under high level thoracic epidural anesthesia. Patient history revealed that he underwent coronary bypass graft six years ago and was taking antihypertensive agents and insulin for hypertension and diabetes. Blood tests performed after...
hemodialysis on the day before the operation showed hemoglobin 11.3 g/dl, hematocrit 36.3%, glucose 202 mg/dl, Na 136 mmol/L, K 5.2 mmol/L, Cl 97 mmol/L, BUN 43 mg/dl, and creatinine 4.5 mg/dl. Test results on the day of the operation showed that the platelet count and coagulation profile (prothrombin time, International Normalized Ratio, activated partial thromboplastin time, fibrinogen) were in the normal ranges.

When the patient arrived at the operation room, blood pressure was 135/89 mmHg, and heart rate was 64 bpm. The high level thoracic epidural anesthesia was performed in the sitting position, and an assistant supported both shoulders of the patient so that the patient could lower his head to the maximum (Fig. 1). The first prominent spinous process of the cervical spine, which is the C6 spinous process, was identified [11]. Referring to that, local infiltration of 2% lidocaine 2 ml was performed in the middle line between the T1 and T2 spinous processes. Then, for the loss of resistance technique, a 5 ml glass syringe was connected to a 17-G Tuohy needle (ARROW®, Arrow International Inc., USA), and the needle was moved to the epidural space by the midline approach. When it moved into the 4.5 cm position from the skin, the plunge of the glass syringe was sucked inside, and the hanging drop method was performed at that position. As a result, the epidural space was positively identified, the loss of resistance technique to air was performed to verify that the loss of resistance was positive, and the drip infusion method showed that the normal saline was dropping at a rate of one drop per second or higher.

After that, an 18-G epidural catheter (ARROW®, Arrow International Inc., USA) was inserted through the Tuohy needle. The epidural catheter was inserted without particular resistance up to 3 cm inside the epidural space. After fixing the catheter, the patient’s position was altered to the supine position, and 1% lidocaine 3 ml containing 1:200,000 epinephrine was injected through the catheter as the test dose. There was no change in blood pressure and heart rate at five minutes after the test injection. The sensory change on both upper arm medial sides was not accurately verified since the patient was unable to cooperate well. For epidural anesthesia, a mixture of 0.375% ropivacaine 15 ml and fentanyl 50 μg was injected through the epidural catheter. Pin-prick tests at 5, 10, 15 and 20 minutes after drug injection showed that pain had not been alleviated at all, and vital signs were not changed.

Judging that the epidural anesthesia failed, general anesthesia was performed under the agreement of the patient. To induce general anesthesia, 2% lidocaine 2 ml-propofol 2 mg/kg-atracurium 0.6 mg/kg was intravenously injected, and the anesthesia was maintained with O2-N2O-desflurane. The operation was carried out for 1.5 hours, and epidural anesthesia failure was reconfirmed when the patient complained of pain in the operated region in the

---

**Fig. 1.** Sitting position with the neck flexed and arms crossed for thoracic epidural puncture.

**Fig. 2.** Hemodialysis catheter insertion state and median sternotomy state due to CABG. Thin catheter is located in T1-2 intervertebral space, with contrast materials injected in soft tissue failing to demonstrate typical epidurogram.